

PLANNING DECISIONS – June 2010

Application No : Location :	10/00091/COU 81 Grove Road Rayle		Application Permitted
Proposal :	Change of Use from (Takeaway) Uses	-	estaurant) and A5
Applicant :	Mr Emilios Karkalets	ou	
Application No : Location : Proposal : Applicant :	10/00138/FUL 55 Oxford Road Rock Single Storey Flat Ro Mr L Phillips	hford	Application Permitted xtension.
Application No :	10/00152/OUT	Decision :	Refuse Outline Planning Permission
Location : Proposal :	Demolition of Existing Storey, Part Three St Bedroomed, Seven x	g Buildings a torey Building Three Bedro	all Lane Great Wakering nd Construct Part Two g Containing Three x Two oomed and Three x Four ted Parking and New
Applicant :	Mr Brian Strickland		

Reason(s) for Refusal

1 The proposed development would result in the provision of on-site car parking spaces which would not meet the Council's preferred bay size, as detailed in the document entitled 'Parking Standards - Design and Good Practice (September 2009)', produced by Essex County Council. Failure to provide adequate on-site car parking is likely to result in the displacement of vehicles onto the highway, giving rise to on street parking to the detriment of highway and pedestrian safety.



- 2 The proposal by way of the substantial under-provision of private amenity space throughout the site would not meet the Council's minimum garden space provisions, as detailed in Supplementary planning Document 2: Housing Design and would result in a layout detrimental the residential amenity of future occupiers and contrary to the aims of HP6 of the Replacement Local Plan.
- 3 The proposal by way of the number of dwellings proposed would lead to inadequate parking provision and a significant shortfall in private amenity space that is tantamount to an over development of the site and which in addition would be detrimental to residential and visual amenity.
- 4 The submitted layout due to the provision of roadside parking spaces at 90 degrees to a 4.8m wide road, an under sized turning head and the arrangement of the carport and adjacent parking bays to the northeast corner lacks sufficient space for the manoeuvring and parking of vehicles safely within the site, and is likely to result in vehicles being parking within the highway as wall as conflict between users to the detriment of highway and pedestrian safety.

Application No :	10/00158/FUL	Decision :	Refuse Planning Permission
Location :	23 Albany Road Ray	leigh	
Proposal :	Single Storey Side E	xtension and	I Front Dormer
Applicant :	Mrs Sally Benjamin		

1 The proposal, including the flat roofed front dormer, by way its position, size, design and appearance would be an intrusive alteration, out of scale and character with the dwelling. Furthermore the resultant roof profile to the side and rear forming in effect a first floor flat roofed boxed form would unbalance the appearance of the building and detract from the prevailing character of the adjacent row of semi-detached pairs of bungalows of which the site forms a part, to the detriment of the street scene.

Application No : Location : Proposal :	•	School Hami	Application Permitted Iton Gardens Hockley and Sun Shades in School
Applicant :	Plumberow Primary	School	
Application No : Location : Proposal :	10/00161/FUL St Teresa Connaugh Demolish Existing Bu Bungalow.	nt Road Rayle	•

Applicant : Mr J And Mrs W Jarvis



Application No : Location : Proposal : Applicant :	5	load Little Wand Rear Flat	Application Permitted akering Southend-On-Sea Roof Extension And Loft Roof Rear Dormer
Application No :	10/00163/LDC	Decision :	Grant Lawful Development Certificate
Location : Proposal :	Cobbins Helena Road Rayleigh Application for a Certificate of Lawfulness to Extend		
Applicant :	Existing Garage. Mr Philip Wong		
Application No :	10/00170/COU	Decision :	Refuse Planning Permission
Location : Proposal : Applicant :	12 Station Road Rayleigh Change of Use from Class A1 (Retail) to Class A5 (Takeaway), New Ramp to Front Entrance Mr Ozcan		

- 1 The proposed use of the site as a Class A5 takeaway is likely to lead to short term parking taking place on or around the junction of Castle Drive with Station Road, thereby causing obstruction and danger to other drivers to the detriment of highway safety. Furthermore, drivers may well park on the footway, thereby obstructing pedestrian movements resulting in pedestrian walking on the carriageway to the detriment of pedestrian and general highway safety.
- 2 The proposed use given the site's close proximity to residential properties, is likely to result in evening and late night noise, disturbance as well as emission of food smells, detrimental to the amenities of the adjoining residential dwellings.

Application No :	10/00172/FUL	Decision :	Application Permitted
Location :	17 Great Wheatley F	Road Rayleig	h
Proposal :	Single Story Rear Co	onservatory	
Applicant :	Mr Robinson	-	



 Application No :
 10/00176/FUL
 Decision :
 Refuse Planning Permission

 Location :
 13 Ronald Drive Rayleigh

 Proposal :
 Conversion Of Existing Flat Roof To Summer House/Shed, To Pitched Roof With 6 Solar Panels, New Fully Glazed Porch To Entrance

 Applicant :
 Mr S Fowler

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The proposal to form a pitched roof over the existing building would result in increased height and bulk of the building which would have a detrimental impact on the amenity of the occupiers of No. 11 Ronald Drive by virtue of causing increased overshadowing and increased prominence of the building which would be overbearing to the rear garden of this neighbouring property, given the position of the building very close to the boundary and the location of the building to the south of No. 11 Ronald Drive contrary to part (ix) and (x) of Policy HP6 of the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan 2006. In addition, the increased height and bulk of the building, given the elongated form of the building would result in an unusually prominent building in the rear garden which would cause a visual intrusion to the character and appearance of the rear garden areas of surrounding residential properties.
- 2 The proposal by way of the front porch extension would result in an incongruous addition to the front elevation, incorporating a porch in-line with the foremost front elevation of the property which would be out of character with the original property and harmful to the character and appearance of the semi-detached pair and to the street scene generally, contrary to parts (ix) and (viii) of Policy HP6 of the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan (2006).

Application No :	10/00178/FUL	Decision :	Application Permitted
Location :	41 Albert Road Roch	lford	
Proposal :	Rear Conservatory		
Applicant :	Mr And Mrs Walker		

Application No :	10/00179/COU	Decision :	Application Permitted
Location :	140A High Street F	Rayleigh	
Proposal :	Change of Use of	Ground Floor	From A1 (Retail) to A2
	(Professional and	Financial Serv	ices)
Applicant :	Mr Tony Fowler		



Application No : 10/00182/FUL Decision : Application Permitted
 Location : 74 - 78 West Street Rochford
 Proposal : Install ATM (Automatic Teller Machine) to Front Elevation and Provide External Refrigeration Equipment (Condenser and Three x Air Conditioning Units) in Timber Hit and Miss Style Fencing Enclosure to Rear of Site. Relocate Entrance Door From Side Elevation to Front Elevation.
 Applicant : Mr Steve Kirk

Application No :10/00183/FULDecision :Application PermittedLocation :Rouncefall The Chase AshingdonProposal :Extend Existing Tower by 2.5 Metres and Add 6m High
Climbable Mounting Pole (Overall Height 31 Metres) Install
4 Tiers of UHF Antennas , One x 0.75m Diameter Dish and
Install One x Meter Cabinet and One x Feeder Gantry One
x Equipment Cabin at Mast Base, and a Compound
Extension.Applicant :Argiva Limited

Application No :	10/00184/LDC	Decision :	Refuse Lawful
			Development
			Certificate

Location : Meadow View Durham Road Rochford Proposal : Application for a Certificate of Lawfulness for Use of Land as Domestic Garden and for the Stationing of a Caravan for Purposes Ancillary to the Enjoyment of the Dwelling House. Applicant : Mr & Mrs Hayes

Application No :	10/00175/ADV	Decision :	Refuse Advertisement Consent
Location : Proposal :	Car Park Websters V 26 x Acrylic Plaques	, , ,	n Existing Street Lighting
Applicant :	Columns (2 per Columns Rayleigh Town Cour	umn)	

Reason(s) for Refusal

1 The proposed acrylic material and design for the signs proposed would result in the introduction of inappropriate material to this site that would appear as an unsympathetic and incongruous feature detrimental to the character of the Rayleigh Conservation Area and would fail to preserve or enhance the character and appearance of the Rayleigh Conservation Area.



Application No :	10/00185/FUL	Decision :	Refuse Planning
			Permission
Location :	20 The Westerings	Hawkwell	
Proposal :	Front Extension Inc	orporating Fla	at Roofed Dormer to Side,
	Revised Vehicle Ac	cess.	

Applicant : Mr And Mrs Wright

Reason(s) for Refusal

1 The proposal, by virtue of the size, position and design of the proposed side dormer would be an intrusive addition of significant detriment to the character and appearance of the dwelling and the surrounding area.

Application No : Location : Proposal : Applicant :	10/00187/FUL 31 Broad Walk Hock Pitched Roof Dorme Velux. Flat Roof Dor Mrs S Sanders	ley r to Front Ele	
Application No :	10/00190/FUL	Decision :	Refuse Planning Permission
Location :	4 Brayers Mews Roc	chford	
Proposal :	Two Storey Pitched Balcony's	Roof Rear E	xtension With 2no Juliet
Applicant :	Mr I Glover		

Reason(s) for Refusal

1 The proposed two storey rear extension forms a horizontal angle greater than 45° with the nearest neighbouring ground floor fenestration at no. 5 Brayers Mews. If allowed the resultant extension would exacerbate the current over shadowing of the southern and eastern elevation of no. 5 and give rise to an unacceptable loss of light, to the rear rooms and rear garden, detrimentally affecting the amenities the occupiers of no. 5 ought reasonably expect to enjoy. The application is considered contrary to Policy HP6 part (ix) of the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan (2006).

Application No : Location : Proposal : Applicant :	10/00191/FUL 27 Park Gardens Ha First Floor Hipped Ro Miss L Birkumshaw	wkwell	Application Permitted
Application No : Location : Proposal :	10/00192/FUL 367 Eastwood Road Single Storey Pitche	Rayleigh	Application Permitted

Applicant : Mr Colin Cleaver



Application No : Location : Proposal : Applicant :	10/00195/FUL 12 Woodpond Avenu Ground Floor Extens Extension to Front Mr John Bowen	•	Application Permitted and Rear, First Floor
Application No : Location : Proposal : Applicant :		vde Wood La oofed Detach Roofed Stabl	ed Single Storey Tool es To Accommodate 4 no
Application No : Location : Proposal : Applicant :	10/00207/FUL Pope John Paul Hall Construct Single Sto Provide Childrens Nu Our Lady Of Ransom	London Roa rey Extension ursery and Ro	, ,
Application No : Location : Proposal : Applicant :	10/00208/FUL Land North Of 36 Hig Construct Detached Autosecond Ltd		
Application No :			
Location : Proposal : Applicant :		rey Pitched F ont Drive, Ra	Application Permitted Roofed Side Extension, ised Timber Patio to Rear Dutbuilding to Rear.



Application No :	10/00212/LDC	Decision :	Grant Lawful Development Certificate
Location : Proposal : Applicant :	37 Clyde Crescent R Application for a Cer Hipped to Gable Roc Incorporating Rear D Mrs C A Dockerill	tificate of Lav	wfulness for Proposed
Application No : Location : Proposal :		t Roofed Fro Roofed Front	Application Permitted ont Dormer and Replace Dormer, Replace Existing w Pitched Roof.
Applicant :	Mr B Whitehead		
Application No : Location : Proposal : Applicant :	10/00215/TIME 89 Crouch Avenue H Application to Extend Following Approval a 11/12/2007. (Demolis No. Detached Four E Garages and Vehicu Kemark Homes Ltd	d Time Limit f at 07/00979/F sh Existing D Bedroomed H lar Accesses	UL Approved on Welling and Construct 2 Jouses With Integral
Application No : Location : Proposal :		d Time Limit	Application Permitted for Implementation of 07/01001/FUL, Approved
Applicant :	Mr Mark Hale		
Application No :	10/00217/FUL	Decision :	Refuse Planning Permission
Location : Proposal :	1 Jubilee Cottages P Construct Part Single Extension.	•	oad Paglesham
Applicant :	Miss M Baker		



1 The Rochford District Replacement Local Plan (2006) shows the site to be within the Metropolitan Green belt. Within the Green Belt, planning permission will not be given, except in very special circumstances, for extensions that result in more than 35 square metres of additional habitable floor to the original property as detailed in Policy R5 of the Local Plan 2006.

The proposed extension is considered excessive, rather than reasonable by virtue of the proposed increase in habitable floor area over the original dwelling by more than 35 square metres which is considered to be inappropriate development, harmful to the openness and character of the Metropolitan Green Belt contrary to part (i) of Policy R5 of the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan (2006). No very special circumstances exist that would clearly outweigh the harm that would result to the Green Belt from the proposal and if allowed the proposal would set a precedent for similar inappropriate development which would cumulatively have a harmful effect, reducing the openness and character of the Green Belt.

Application No :	10/00218/FUL	Decision :	Application Permitted
Location :	272 Rectory Road H	awkwell	
Proposal :	Construct Single Sto	rey Flat Roof	fed Rear Extension
Applicant :	Mr Alan Cleary		

Application No :	10/00219/FUL	Decision :	Application Permitted
Location :	129 Little Wakering	Road Great V	Vakering
Proposal :	Construct Vehicular	Crossover in	to Little Wakering Road.
Applicant :	Mr Maurice Waterho	ouse	

Application No :	10/00220/FUL	Decision :	Application Permitted
Location :	159 New Road Great	t Wakering	
Proposal :	Loft Conversion Inco	rporating Pite	ched Roofed Front
	Dormers and Flat Ro	ofed Rear D	ormer Incorporating Juliet
	Balcony.		
Applicant :	Mr And Mrs Henley-0	Green	

Application No :	10/00221/FUL	Decision :	Application Permitted
Location :	14 Ravenswood Cha	ase Rochford	
Proposal :	Subdivide Plot and C	Consruct New	Three Bedroomed
	Detached Dwelling w	vith Integral G	Barage, New Vehicular
	Access and Addition	al Paved Par	king Area to Front.
Applicant :	Mr Holliday		



Application No :	10/00222/ADV	Decision :	Refuse Advertisement Consent
Location :	99 Main Road Hockl	еу	
Proposal :	Signs, One x Non-Illu Illuminated Post Sigr	n, Two x Exte uminated Wa	ernally Illuminated Wall all Sign, Two x Non-
Applicant :	Hayley Goodwin		

1 The proposal by way of the use of inappropriate materials and colours together unsympathetic design and introduction of new signs would result in the proliferation of advertisements that would appear unsympathetic and visually detrimental to the character and amenity of the listed building and the surrounding area and giving rise to an undesirable amount of visual clutter. The proposal is, thus, considered contrary to Policies SAT9 and SAT11 of the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan (2006).

Application No :	10/00223/LBC	Decision :	Refuse Listed Building Consent
Location : Proposal :	99 Main Road Hoo Remove Old Signs Lighting.		and Install New Signs and
Applicant :	Hayley Goodwin		

Reason(s) for Refusal

1 The proposal by way of the use of inappropriate materials and colours together unsympathetic design would be detrimental to the character of this statutory listed building. Furthermore, it does not preserve or enhance the character of the building.

Application No :	10/00224/FUL	Decision :	Application Permitted
Location :	118B Rawreth Lane	Rayleigh	
Proposal :	Construct New Cons	ervatory to R	lear
Applicant :	Mr C Brown	-	



Application No :10/00225/FULDecision :Refuse Planning
PermissionLocation :45 Truro Crescent RayleighProposal :Loft Conversion Incorporating Flat Roofed Dormer to Rear
and Roof Lights to Front.Applicant :Mr And Mrs Mapp

Reason(s) for Refusal

1 The proposal, by virtue of the scale, form and design of the rear dormer would result in an incongruous addition to the host property out of character and scale with the host property and harmful to the character and appearance of the street and to the visual amenity of the occupiers of neighbouring properties to the site, contrary to parts (viii) and (x) of Policy HP6 of the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan 2006.

Application No :	10/00229/FUL	Decision :	Refuse Planning	
			Permission	
Location :	Hyde Wood Farm	Hyde Wood La	ne Canewdon	
Proposal :	Demolish Existing House and Bungalow Annex and Erect			
	One Four Bedroomed House. Construct Temporary			
	Building (Retrospective) to Accomodate Family during			
	Construction.			
Applicant :	Mrs S Noad			

Reason(s) for Refusal

1 The site is located within an area of Metropolitan Green belt as defined in The Rochford District Replacement Local Plan as adopted on 16th June 2006 and as saved by Direction of the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government and dated 5th June 2009 in exercise of the power conferred by paragraph 1(3) of schedule 8 to the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

The proposal is considered excessive, rather than reasonable, resulting in a substantial change in the appearance and character of the property and a replacement dwelling disproportionate in size over and above the size of the original building and that existing or that can lawfully exist on the site. The proposed building would be materially larger in terms of floorspace and the bulk of the building proposed in comparison with the existing dwelling on the site and as such the proposal would result in a significant increase in the visual mass of the new dwelling significantly greater than the existing dwelling and detrimental to the openness, visual amenity and character of the Metropolitan Green Belt contrary to Local Plan policy R6 parts (i) and (ii) and PPG 2.



2 The proposal by way of the provision of a temporary building on the site of permanent and substantial construction for residential purposes would be tantamount to the formation of a second independent dwelling on the site that would if established form a separate additional dwelling on the site to the detriment of the open and undeveloped character of the Metropolitan Green Belt as defined in the Council's saved Local Plan (2006). If allowed the proposed temporary building would further urbanize on a peice meal basis that part of the Metropolitan Green Belt in which the site is situated. Such a substantial building in size and floorspace would result in a significant increase in the visual mass of the built form on the site detrimental to the openness, visual amenity and character of the Metropolitan Green Belt.

Application No :	10/00231/FUL	Decision :	Refuse Planning
			Permission
Location :	Land Rear Of 27 To	o 31 Broadlan	ds Road Hockley
Proposal :	Construct Three Bedroomed Detached Dwelling with		
	Attached Double G	arage, In and	Out Access from
	Greensward Lane (Amended Pro	oposal to 09/00557/FUL).
Applicant :	Mr And Mrs B. Mea	d	

Reason(s) for Refusal

1 There is a lack of adequate visibility onto the adjoining highway (Greensward Lane) to the west of the site access which it is proposed to use due to the curvature of the highway. Such a lack of visibility is likely to be detrimental to highway safety.

Application No : Location : Proposal : Applicant :	10/00232/FUL 69 Heron Gardens R Two Storey Pitched Mrs Theresa Upson		Application Permitted Extension
Application No :	10/00233/LDC	Decision :	Refuse Lawful Development Certificate
Location : Proposal :	The Bungalow Merton Road Hockley Application for a Certificate of Lawfulness for Use of Application Site as Residential Curtilage. (Existing Use)		
Applicant :	Mr Jose Linazasoro		



Application No : Location : Proposal : Applicant :	10/00235/FUL 54 Ferndale Road Ray Two Storey Pitched Ro New Porch, Vehicular Remove Chimney Stac Ms Dee King	oofed Front Crossing a	and Side Extensions,
Application No : Location : Proposal : Applicant :	16 Leasway Rayleigh Flat Roofed Side Exte		Application Permitted orm Attached Garage. oproval at 09/00708/FUL)
Application No : Location : Proposal :	10/00369/PD [London Southend Airp New Steel and Wire M		
Applicant :	Northern Boundary. London Southend Airp		
Applicant : Application No :	London Southend Airp		ny Ltd Refuse Planning
	London Southend Airp	oort Compar Decision : ochford Fascia Signs hanging sig	ny Ltd Refuse Planning Permission s, 2 no. internally

1 The proposal by way of the internally illuminated box fascias and projecting signs proposed in Perspex and Aluminium material with internal illumination would fail to result in a proper relationship to the building on which they are displayed and the Rochford Conservation Area more generally directly to the detriment of the character and amenity of the area in conflict with Policy SAT 10 to the saved Rochford District Replacement Local Plan (2006) by way of inappropriate design and materials for the Rochford Conservation Area.

Application No :	10/00244/NMA	Decision :	Application Permitted
Location :	67 Hilary Crescent F	Rayleigh Esse	ex
Proposal :	Application for a Non-Material Amendment Following		
	Approval at 09/0024	6/FUL.	
Applicant :	Mr Lee Grainger		



Application No :	10/00245/FUL	Decision :	Application Permitted
Location :	19 Butts Paddock	Canewdon Roo	chford
Proposal :	Convert Garage to	Habitable Spa	ice.
Applicant	Mr Mark Dumont	-	

Applicant : Mr Mark Dumont

Application No : Location :	10/00246/FUL 66 Ferry Road Hullb		Application Permitted
Proposal :	Single Storey Part P	itched Roofe	d/Part Flat Roofed Side ofed Porch and Front
Applicant :	Mr Fabid Margrotta		
Application No :	10/00247/FUL	Decision :	Refuse Planning

 Permission

 Location :
 30 Rectory Road Rochford

 Proposal :
 Two Storey Pitched Roofed Side Extension to Create Attached Garage and First Floor Study.

 Applicant :
 Chris Blackwell

Reason(s) for Refusal

1 The proposed development fails to provide a satisfactory side isolation space between the resultant building and the site boundary at first floor level. The proposal conflicts with policy HP6 of the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan (2006) and the Council's supplementary Planning Guidance (2007) which seek to provide a minimum sidespace of 1m between the outside face of the resultant dwelling and the plot boundary at first floor level to prevent the coalescence, or future coalescence of dwellings at first floor level. If permitted the proposal would erode the space about the building extending the built form to the full extent of the width of the site, visually detrimental to the character and appearance of the site and the street scene more generally and creating an unacceptable relationship with no. 28 Rectory Road. In addition the design and appearance of the extension is considered to be out of character with the original property, appearing as an incongruous addition, detrimentally effecting the visual amenity afforded to the dwelling and the street scene contrary to parts (x) and (viii) of Policy HP6 of the Rochford Replacement Local Plan (2006).

Application No :	10/00250/FUL	Decision :	Application Permitted
Location :	166 Alexandra Road	Great Wake	ring Southend-on-Sea
Proposal :	Two Storey Pitched F	Roofed Rear	Extension
Applicant :	Mr And Mrs Gillett		



Application No : Location : Proposal : Applicant :	10/00251/FUL Decision : Application Permitted Fair Meadows Hall Road Rochford Two Storey Side Extension With Pitched Roof Dormers Front And Rear. Pitched Roof Single Storey Rear and Side Extension With Roof Lights, and New Porch. New Door To Side Elevation. Mr And Mrs Ashcroft
Application No : Location : Proposal : Applicant :	10/00252/FUL Decision : Application Permitted 35 Little Wheatley Chase Rayleigh Construct Single Storey Pitched Roofed Rear Conservatory. Mr P S Jessen
Application No : Location : Proposal : Applicant :	10/00253/FUL Decision : Application Permitted 130 The Chase Rayleigh Part Single Storey Part Two Storey Front, Side and Rear Extensions, Loft Conversion Incorporating Flat Roofed Dormer to Rear and Rooflights to Front. Mr And Mrs Birch
Application No : Location : Proposal : Applicant :	10/00255/ADV Decision : Application Permitted 41 Eastwood Road Rayleigh One Fascia Sign, One Projecting Sign and One Internal Window Sign Dominos Pizza Group Ltd
Application No : Location : Proposal : Applicant :	10/00204/FUL Decision : Application Permitted 7 Brayers Mews Rochford Single Storey Side and Rear Extensions. Construct Infill Extension Between Existing Bungalow and Garage. (Revised Application Following Approval at 08/00832/FUL) Ms Gillian Drake
Application No : Location : Proposal : Applicant :	10/00261/FUL Decision : Application Permitted 17 Queens Road Rayleigh Construct Single Storey Rear Extension Incorporating Roof Lantern. Mr Daniel Groom



Application No :	10/00262/OUT	Decision :	Refuse Planning
			Permission
Location :	Land Adjacent To 8 Preston Gardens Rayleigh		
Proposal :	Construct a Pair of Semi-Detached 4 Bedroomed Houses		
	With Integral Gara	ages.	
Applicant :	Mr & Mrs N Spark	S	

- 1 The level of on-site parking is considered insufficient given that the three on site car parking spaces proposed for each of the proposed dwellings would not all be provided at the preferred bay or garage size detailed 'Parking Standards- Design and Good Practice' September 2009, Essex County Council. The lack of sufficient on site car parking would result in inadequate on-site car parking to the detriment of highway safety and residential amenity.
- 2 The Highways Authority require works to be undertaken to a substantial part of the land directly adjoining the northern boundary of the application site, to ensure the provision of an acceptable vehicular access to the application site such that the proposal would not result in any detrimental impact upon the adjoining highway, Preston Gardens. Given that this area of land lies outside the applicants control the proposal cannot achieve an acceptable vehicular access to the site to the detriment of highway safety.
- 3 The proposal by virtue of the significant depth and height of the proposed dwellings would be visible from Preston Gardens causing significant impact and harm to the character and appearance of the street scene. Furthermore this together with the proposed siting of the dwelling on plot 2 close to the boundary of No. 8 Preston Gardens which is a bungalow; would result in an unsatisfactory relationship to No. 8 Preston Gardens resulting in a detrimental impact on the amenity that ought to be reasonably expected by the occupiers of No. 8 Preston Gardens by way of being overbearing and causing overshadowing, contrary to parts (ix) and (x) of Policy HP6 of the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan 2006.

Furthermore the proposal by virtue of the proposed difference in site levels with the site, between plots 1 and 2 and the proposed depth of the dwelling on plot 1 in relation to the dwelling on plot 2 would result in an unsatisfactory relationship between the proposed dwellings, causing an unacceptable degree of overshadowing to the ground floor rear windows of the dwelling on plot 2 and an imposing eastern side boundary treatment to the proposed dwelling on plot 2.

Application No :	10/00263/FUL	Decision :	Application Permitted
Location :	17 Branksome Aver	ue Hockley	
Proposal :	Loft Conversion Inco	orporating Fir	st Floor Pitched Roofed
	Extension to Rear a	and Pitched R	loofed Dormers to Side
	and Front.		
Applicant :	Mr And Mrs Emmert	ton	



Application No : Location : Proposal :	Extension and Chang Roof.	eigh ation for Cha ly: Replace C orch and Red	• • •
Applicant :	Mr And Mrs Bass		
Application No : Location : Proposal : Applicant :	10/00269/FUL Greensward Surgery Construct Two Store Incorporating New Ad Parking Layout. Mr Trevor Rees	Greenswarc y Pitched Ro	ofed Rear Extension
Application No :	10/00270/FUL	Decision :	Refuse Planning Permission
Location : Proposal : Applicant :	4 Broad Close Hockley Erection of Single Storey Rear Extension and Loft Conversion with Roof Dormer following Demolition of Existing Conservatory and Garage. Mr Rick Kerr		

- 1 The proposal by way of the hip to gable roof alteration would be out of character with the original dwelling and would detract from the strong-hipped roofed character of dwellings in the street, detrimentally affecting the visual amenity of the street scene; Furthermore the alteration would undermine the symmetry of this pair of semi detached properties, proving detrimental to the visual amenity afforded to the street scene arising from the established character of the dwellings in the street, contrary to parts (x) and (viii) of Policy HP6 of the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan 2006. The development would set an undesirable precedent for similar extensions which cumulatively would result in further damage to the appearance of the area.
- 2 The proposed rear dormer by reason of its size and appearance and by not maintaining substantial verges below and to both side of the dormer would result in an intrusive and excessive addition out of scale and character with the existing dwelling and contrary to Policy HP 6 of the Councils Replacement Local Plan (2006).



Application No :10/00272/FULDecision :Application PermittedLocation :66 Nelson Road RayleighProposal :Demolish Existing Conservatory and Outbuildings and
Construct Single Storey Flat Roof Rear Extension With
Linear Roof Light. Hipped To Gable With Roof Lights To
Front Elevation, Pitched Roof Dormer To Rear.Applicant :Mr Richard Hope

Application No :10/00277/FULDecision :Application PermittedLocation :8 The Spinneys HockleyProposal :Single storey rear extensionApplicant :Mr & Mrs S Adkins

- Application No :10/00280/FULDecision :Application PermittedLocation :31 Folly Lane HockleyProposal :Single Storey Side and Rear Extension and Rear Flat Roof
DormerApplicant :Mr And Mrs M Wimsett
- Application No :10/00283/FULDecision :Application PermittedLocation :New Hall Nursery Lower Road HockleyProposal :Change Use of Part of Existing Store/Office To Tea Room
And Conversion Of Free Standing Store To Disabled
Person ToiletApplicant :J Hargreaves
- Application No :10/00284/FULDecision :Application PermittedLocation :98 Kestrel Grove RayleighProposal :Loft Conversion Incorporating Pitched Roof To Front/Side
Elevation, 4 no Velux to Side ElevationsApplicant :Mr Michael Buzza
- Application No :10/00326/NMADecision :Application PermittedLocation :50 Hilary Crescent RayleighProposal :Non-Material Amendment to Remove 9 no. Windows from
Approved Application for Bungalow Conversion to Two
Storey Dwelling and Single Storey Extension
(10/00049/FUL)Applicant :Mr Victor Tull

